

HIGH RADIATION PRESSURE ON INTERSTELLAR DUST COMPUTED BY LIGHT-SCATTERING SIMULATION ON FLUFFY AGGLOMERATES OF MAGNESIUM-SILICATE GRAINS WITH METALLIC-IRON INCLUSIONS

HIROSHI KIMURA^{1,*}

¹*Division of Particle and Astrophysical Science, Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*

(Received 2017 March 23; Revised 2017 April 6; Accepted 2017 April 6)

Submitted to the Astrophysical Journal Letters

ABSTRACT

Recent space missions have provided information on the physical and chemical properties of interstellar grains such as the ratio β of radiation pressure to gravity acting on the grains in addition to the composition, structure, and size distribution of the grains. Numerical simulation on the trajectories of interstellar grains captured by *Stardust* and returned to Earth constrained the β ratio for the *Stardust* samples of interstellar origin. However, recent accurate calculations of radiation pressure cross-sections for model dust grains have given conflicting stories in the β ratio of interstellar grains. The β ratio for model dust grains of so-called “astronomical silicate” in the femto-kilogram range lies below unity, in conflict with $\beta \sim 1$ for the *Stardust* interstellar grains. Here, I tackle this conundrum by re-evaluating the β ratio of interstellar grains on the assumption that the grains are aggregated particles grown by coagulation and composed of amorphous MgSiO_3 with the inclusion of metallic iron. My model is entirely consistent with the depletion and the correlation of major rock-forming elements in the Local Interstellar Cloud surrounding the Sun and the mineralogical identification of interstellar grains in the *Stardust* and *Cassini* missions. I find that my model dust particles fulfill the constraints on the β ratio derived from not only the *Stardust* mission but also the *Ulysses* and *Cassini* missions. My results suggest that iron is not incorporated into silicates but exists as metal, contrary to the majority of interstellar dust models available to date.

Keywords: dust, extinction — galaxies: ISM — ISM: kinematics and dynamics — local interstellar matter

Corresponding author: Hiroshi Kimura
hiroshi.kimura@cps-jp.org

* Visiting Scientist, Center for Planetary Science (CPS), Chuo-ku Minatojima Minamimachi 7-1-48, Kobe 650-0047, Japan

1. INTRODUCTION

To understand the physical and chemical properties of interstellar dust remains a long-standing problem, since it is common practice to indirectly infer the properties of the dust from astronomical observations, which have been the only tools to access the information in the last century. Thanks to the motion of the solar system with respect to the Local Interstellar Cloud (LIC), recent space missions mark the beginning of a new era that interstellar grains are directly accessible in the inner solar system (Bertaux & Blamont 1976; Mann & Kimura 2000). The first clear evidence for the detection of LIC grains penetrating into the Solar System was provided by the impact ionization detector on board *Ulysses* (Grün et al. 1994). Subsequently, the identification of LIC grains has been reported by similar detectors on board *Hiten*, *Galileo*, and *Nozomi*, and also confirmed by reanalysis of Helios’ data (Svedhem et al. 1996; Grün et al. 1997; Altobelli et al. 2006; Sasaki et al. 2007). The *Stardust* mission has provided a great opportunity to identify the composition of LIC dust by analyzing genuine samples of the dust in the laboratory (Westphal et al. 2014b). However, the trajectory of *Stardust* did not allow the Stardust Interstellar Dust Collector to capture a sample of LIC grains with $\beta > 1.6$ where β is the ratio of solar radiation pressure to solar gravity (Westphal et al. 2014a). Here, the condition of $\beta > 1.6$ corresponds to the mass range of $1.0 \times 10^{-17} \leq m \leq 3.2 \times 10^{-17}$ kg, based on the *Ulysses* in situ measurements of LIC grains (Kimura et al. 2003). Numerical simulation of trajectories for the *Stardust* samples suggests that the β – m curve intersects $\beta = 1$ around $m \sim 3 \times 10^{-15}$ kg (Sterken et al. 2014). Accordingly, Silsbee & Draine (2016) attempted to model LIC grains that have $\beta = 1$ at $m \sim 3 \times 10^{-15}$ kg using aggregated particles of amorphous silicate grains as well as composite particles of amorphous silicate grains and metallic iron or amorphous carbon grains. They failed to reproduce $\beta = 1$ at $m \sim 3 \times 10^{-15}$ kg for their plausible dust models, resulting in a question as to whether the *Stardust* samples are of interstellar origin. They computed the β – m curve with the fixed number of constituent grains (monomers), indicating that the size of their particles is determined by the size of monomers. In reality, the size of aggregated particles most likely increases with the number of monomers rather than the size of monomers, if the particles grew by coagulation. It is, therefore, important that the evaluation of β – m curve is based on proper assumptions about the structure and composition of dust particles.

Recently, the *Cassini* mission has revealed that the chemical composition of LIC dust of mass $m \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-16}$ kg is essentially solar with the equal partition of Mg, Si, and Fe (Altobelli et al. 2016). The ion amplitudes measured by the Chemical Analyzer subsystem of the Cosmic Dust Analyzer (CDA) on board *Cassini* indicate that the grains are not fluffy, but compact in the mass range mentioned above. It turned out that the β values derived from the data analyses of CDA are consistent with compact grains of amorphous MgSiO_3 with the inclusion of metallic iron. Owing to the insensitivity of the CDA to large grains, the result of CDA does not rule out the possibility of aggregated particles for $m \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{-16}$ kg. A comparison of *Ulysses* in situ data with numerical simulation of dust trajectories suggests that LIC grains with mass $m > 2 \times 10^{-16}$ kg are fluffy (Sterken et al. 2015). All the in situ data seem to be in harmony only if LIC grains of $m \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-16}$ kg are compact and LIC grains of $m > 2 \times 10^{-16}$ kg are fluffy (Kimura et al. 2016). I shall verify this hypothesis by demonstrating that the β – m curve for aggregated particles fulfills the constraint of $\beta = 1$ around $m \sim 3 \times 10^{-15}$ kg.

2. DUST MODEL

2.1. The Configuration of Monomers

I assume that interstellar dust undertakes coagulation growth in dense molecular clouds by the ballistic cluster–cluster agglomeration (BCCA) process. In my simulation, BCCA particles are built under the co-

agulation growth that is conducted to hit and stick on respective contacts of two equal aggregated particles consisting of identical spherical grains (monomers) with a radius of $a_m = 0.1 \mu\text{m}$. The mass m of an aggregated particle is proportional to the number N_m of monomers as $m = N_m(4/3)\pi a_m^3 \rho_m$ with ρ_m being the density of monomers.

2.2. The Composition of Monomers

The chemical composition and the β ratio of LIC grains measured by CDA/*Cassini* were best explained by compact grains composed of amorphous MgSiO_3 , in which metallic Fe is embedded, with equal abundances of Mg, Si, and Fe (Altobelli et al. 2006). Therefore, I may consider that aggregated particles consist of grains composed of amorphous MgSiO_3 with the inclusion of metallic Fe along with the elemental abundance ratio of Si : Mg : Fe = 1 : 1 : 1 for simplicity. This elemental abundance ratio results in the average grain density of $\rho_m = 4.16 \times 10^3 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ on the assumption that the density of amorphous MgSiO_3 and that of metallic Fe are given by $3.30 \times 10^3 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ and $7.86 \times 10^3 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, respectively.

3. COMPUTATIONAL PROCEDURE

3.1. Dielectric Function

I apply the Maxwell–Garnett mixing rule to estimate the effective dielectric function $\epsilon(\lambda)$ of amorphous MgSiO_3 with the inclusion of metallic Fe using their volume fractions of 81.07% and 18.93%, respectively (Garnett 1904). The dielectric function of amorphous MgSiO_3 is taken from Scott & Duley (1996) for wavelengths up to $\lambda = 62 \mu\text{m}$ and the values for longer wavelengths are extrapolated on the assumption that the real part is constant and the imaginary part is proportional to λ^{-1} . The dielectric function of metallic Fe is taken from Moravec et al. (1976), Johnson & Christy (1974), and Ordal et al. (1988) for wavelengths up to $\lambda = 286 \mu\text{m}$ and the values for longer wavelengths are linearly extrapolated.

3.2. Radiation Pressure Cross-section

I use the superposition T -matrix method to compute the radiation-pressure cross-section $C_{\text{pr}}(\epsilon)$ for aggregated particles whose composition is characterized by the effective dielectric function $\epsilon(\lambda)$ (Mackowski & Mishchenko 1996). The computation of radiation-pressure cross-section is performed in the wavelength range of $\lambda = 0.14\text{--}300 \mu\text{m}$ because the contributions of shorter and longer wavelengths to the radiation pressure are negligibly small. By taking advantage of the superposition T -matrix method, I shall calculate the radiation-pressure cross-section averaged over particle orientations.

3.3. β Ratio

The β ratio of dust particles in random orientations is given by the following equation¹ (Kimura et al. 2004):

$$\beta = \frac{\pi R_\odot^2}{GM_\odot mc} \int_0^\infty B_\odot C_{\text{pr}} d\lambda, \quad (1)$$

where $R_\odot$, $M_\odot$, and $B_\odot$ are the radius, the mass, and the radiance of the Sun, respectively, and c is the speed of light in vacuum. According to Silsbee & Draine (2016), I approximate the solar radiance by the Planck function with an effective temperature of 5777 K. Silsbee & Draine (2016) used the rectangle method

¹ In general, the direction of radiation pressure force acting on aggregated particles is not antiparallel to the direction of gravitational force, unless random orientations are considered (Kimura et al. 2002a).




Figure 1. β ratio of solar radiation pressure to solar gravity acting on aggregated particles (solid circles) and spherical particles (solid line) as a function of the mass m of the particles. The boxes indicate the constraints of the β – m curve derived from the data analysis of *Ulysses* in situ impact experiments (Kimura et al. 2003). The open squares with error bars are the β – m relation for *Stardust* samples (Orion, Hylabrook, and Sorok) determined by numerical simulation of their trajectories (Sterken et al. 2014).

to integrate the product of solar radiance and radiation-pressure cross-section over the wavelength range of $\lambda = 0.27\text{--}3.34\ \mu\text{m}$ with the fractional accuracy of $(1\text{--}5) \times 10^{-3}$. In contrast, I use the Romberg’s method for the integration of the product over the wavelength range of $\lambda = 0.14\text{--}300\ \mu\text{m}$ with the fractional accuracy of 10^{-8} .

4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Figure 1 shows my numerical results on the β ratio for different sizes of aggregated particles (solid circles with a dashed line) and compact spheres (solid line). Also shown are the constraints of the β – m curve derived from the data analysis of *Ulysses* in situ impact experiments (boxes) and numerical simulation on the trajectories of *Stardust* samples (open squares with error bars) (see Kimura et al. 2003; Sterken et al. 2014).

The β ratio for aggregated particles decreases with mass, but the mass dependence of the β ratio for aggregated particles is weaker than that for compact spherical particles. As a result, the β – m curve for aggregated particles intersects $\beta = 1$ at heavier particles than that for compact particles of the same composition. This weak mass dependence of the β ratio for aggregated particles is entirely consistent with previous studies on the β ratios for aggregated particles of silicates and carbonaceous materials (e.g., Mukai et al. 1992; Kimura et al. 2002a; Köhler et al. 2007). My results indicate that the β – m curve intersects $\beta = 1$ at $m \approx 3.3 \times 10^{-15}$ kg for aggregate particles and $m \approx 3.3 \times 10^{-16}$ kg for compact particles.

5. DISCUSSION

My results have revealed the similarity of the β ratio between aggregated particles and compact particles as far as the mass range of $m < 5 \times 10^{-16}$ kg is concerned (see Fig. 1). It is, therefore, little wonder that interstellar dust in the mass range of $2 \times 10^{-16} < m < 5 \times 10^{-16}$ kg appeared as fluffy grains in the *Ulysses* data but as compact grains in the *Cassini* data (see Sterken et al. 2015; Altobelli et al. 2016). It is simply that the β ratio for interstellar dust alone does not differentiate fluffy grains from compact grains if the mass of the dust lies below 5×10^{-16} kg. Consequently, I conclude that the fluffy structure of aggregated particles manifests in the β ratio only for large grains of $m \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{-16}$ kg.

The result of *Stardust* is consistent with my results on the intersection of the β – m curve for aggregated particles and $\beta = 1$ at $m \approx 3 \times 10^{-15}$ kg. It has been known that fluffy particles tend to have a higher β value than compact particles of the same mass as the size of the particles increases (e.g., Mukai et al. 1992; Köhler et al. 2007). Nevertheless, Silsbee & Draine (2016) claimed that aggregated particles of $m \sim 3 \times 10^{-15}$ kg do not have $\beta \approx 1$ unless an unrealistic composition is assumed. In their model, the size of the particles was controlled by the radius a_m of monomers, while I have enforced the coagulation growth where the size of aggregated particles is determined by the number N_m of monomers, instead of the radius a_m . Moreover, they assumed that amorphous silicates and metallic iron are not intermingled, but the results of *Stardust* and *Cassini* suggest a mixture of amorphous silicates and metallic iron on a nanometer scale as I have assumed here (see Westphal et al. 2014b; Altobelli et al. 2016). Consequently, my success in reproducing $\beta > 1$ for $m \leq 3 \times 10^{-15}$ kg can be attributed to my realistic assumption about the composition and structure of the particles.

To elucidate the origin of the difference in the results between Silsbee & Draine (2016) and this Letter, I shall compare the β values for aggregated particles of $N_m = 32$ grains. Silsbee & Draine (2016) constructed aggregated particles of $N_m = 32$ grains using the ballistic particle–cluster agglomeration (BPCA) process, instead of the BCCA process used in this Letter. While the β values in the femto-kilogram range are higher for BCCA particles than BPCA particles, the β values at $N = 32$ and $a_m \approx 0.1 \mu\text{m}$ (i.e., $m \sim 6 \times 10^{-16}$) are expected to be nearly independent of the coagulation process (cf. Köhler et al. 2007). Nevertheless, there is a noticeable difference in the results at $N_m = 32$ between Silsbee & Draine (2016) and this Letter, indicating that the difference rather originates from the contribution of metallic iron to the optical properties of aggregated particles. My results imply that radiation pressure becomes stronger if iron is imbedded in amorphous magnesium silicates than if the particles contain iron monomers separately from silicate monomers. Therefore, I conclude that the origin of the difference in the results between Silsbee & Draine (2016) and this Letter comes from not only the assumption of coagulation process but also the method of incorporating iron inclusions in aggregated particles.

The majority of interstellar dust models assume amorphous silicates of stoichiometrically olivine (MgFeSiO_4) or pyroxene ($\text{MgFeSi}_2\text{O}_6$) composition in interstellar dust (e.g., Draine & Lee 1984; Jäger et al. 1994). However, the gas phase of the LIC does not show a correlation between Mg and Fe, but Mg and Si, implying that silicates are magnesium-rich and iron-poor (Kimura 2015). Accordingly, the minority of interstellar dust models assume amorphous silicates of stoichiometrically forsterite (Mg_2SiO_4) or enstatite (MgSiO_3) composition in interstellar dust (e.g., Kimura et al. 2003; Jones et al. 2013). In general, the β ratio for silicate grains does not exceed unity in the Sun’s radiation field, irrespective of the composition and structure of the grains (Kimura et al. 2002b). On the contrary, if magnesium-rich, iron-poor silicate grains contain metallic iron as inclusions, then the maximum β value may exceed unity (Altobelli et al. 2016). This is also the case for fluffy agglomerates consisting of submicron magnesium-silicate grains with metallic iron inclusions as demonstrated in this Letter. Therefore, the identification of LIC grains with

$\beta > 1$ by recent space missions may be an additional indication of iron being inclusions of silicates in a metallic form.

I have shown that the intersection of the β - m curve and $\beta = 1$ takes place at $m \approx 3 \times 10^{-15}$ kg for aggregated particles of $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ radius monomers. It is worth noting that the critical mass of aggregated particles at which the β - m curve intersects $\beta = 1$ depends on the radius of monomers, a_m (Kimura et al. 2002b). A slightly larger monomer size, for example, $a_m = 0.11 \mu\text{m}$, raises the critical mass, while an extremely large monomer size, for example, $a_m = 1 \mu\text{m}$, lowers the critical mass. As a result, I cannot help but expect that the actual size of monomers in interstellar grains is not far from my assumption of $a_m = 0.1 \mu\text{m}$.

I would like to thank Daniel Mackowski, Kirk Fuller, and Michael Mishchenko for the availability of the `scsmtm1` code. The author is indebted to JSPS's Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI #23244027, #26400230, #15K05273).

REFERENCES

- Altobelli, N., Grün, E., & Landgraf, M. 2006, *A&A*, 448, 243
- Altobelli, N., Postberg, F., Fiege, K., et al. 2016, *Sci*, 352, 312
- Bertaux, J. L., & Blamont, J. E. 1976, *Natur*, 262, 263
- Draine, B. T., & Lee, H. M. 1984, *ApJ*, 285, 89
- Garnett, J. C. M. 1904, *RSPTA*, 203, 385
- Grün, E., Gustafson, B., Mann, I., et al. 1994, *A&A*, 286, 915
- Grün, E., Staubach, P., Baguhl, M., et al. 1997, *Icar*, 129, 270
- Jäger, C., Mutschke, H., Begemann, B., Dorschner, J., & Henning, T. 199, *A&A*, 292, 641
- Johnson, P. B., & Christy, R. W. 1974, *PhRvB*, 9, 5056
- Jones, A. P., Fanciullo, L., Köhler, M., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 558, A62
- Kimura, H. 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, 2250
- Kimura, H., Okamoto, H., & Mukai, T. 2002a, *Icar*, 157, 349
- Kimura, H., Mann, I., Biesecker, D. A., & Jessberger, E. K. 2002b, *Icar*, 159, 529
- Kimura, H., Mann, I., & Jessberger, E. K. 2003, *ApJ*, 583, 314
- Kimura, H., Mann, I., Biesecker, D. A., & Jessberger, E. K. 2004, *Icar*, 169, 505
- Kimura, H., Kolokolova, L., Li, A., et al. 2016, *P&SS*, 133, 1
- Köhler, M., Minato, T., Kimura, H., & Mann, I. 2007, *AdSR*, 40, 266
- Mackowski, D. W., & Mishchenko, M. I. 1996, *JOSAA*, 13, 2266
- Mann, I., & Kimura, H. 2000, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 10317
- Moravec, T. J., Rife, J. C., & Dexter, R. N. 1976, *PhRvB*, 13, 3297
- Mukai, T., Ishimoto, H., Kozasa, T., Blum, J., & Greenberg, J. M. 1992, *A&A*, 262, 315
- Ordal, M. A., Bell, R. J., Alexander, R. W., Jr, Newquist, L. A., & Querry, M. R. 1988, *ApOpt*, 27, 1203
- Sasaki, S., Igenbergs, E., Ohashi, H., et al. 2007, *AdSR*, 39, 485
- Scott, A., & Duley, W. W. 1996, *ApJS*, 105, 401
- Silsbee, K., & Draine, B. T. 2016, *ApJ*, 818, 133
- Sterken, V.J., Westphal, A.J., Altobelli, N. 2014, *M&PS*, 49, 1680
- Sterken, V.J., Strub, P., Krüger, H., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 812, 141
- Svedhem, H., Münzenmayer, R., & Iglseder, H. 1996, in *Physics, Chemistry, and Dynamics of Interplanetary Dust*, ed. B. Å. S., Gustafson, & M. S. Hanner (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 27
- Westphal, A. J., Bechtel, H. A., Brenker, F. E., et al. 2014a, *M&PS*, 49, 1720
- Westphal, A. J., Stroud, R. M., Bechtel, H. A., et al. 2014b, *Sci*, 345, 786